

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI

STATE OF ARIZONA,	Cause No. P1300CR20081339
Plaintiff,	Division 6
v.	STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE SOLE
STEVEN CARROLL DEMOCKER,	REMAINING AGGRAVATOR: PECUNIARY GAIN
Defendant.	

The State of Arizona, by and through Sheila Sullivan Polk, Yavapai County Attorney, and her deputy undersigned, hereby submits its Response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss the Sole Remaining Aggravator: Pecuniary Gain and requests that Defendant's Motion be denied as the motion is untimely and, more definitively, it is legally unsupported and clearly without merit.

Ariz. R. Crim. P., Rule 16.1(b) and (c) provide that "[a]ll motions shall be made no later than 20 days prior to trial ... unless the basis therefore was not then known." The deadline for filing motions was April 14, 2010. This Court struck two of the aggravating factors on April 8. Clearly, the defense team knew the basis for this motion prior to the deadline; therefore, the motion should be precluded because it is untimely.

Office of the Yavapai County Attorney 255 E. Gurley Street, Suite 300 Prescott, AZ 86301 Phone: (928) 771-3344 Facsimile: (928) 771-3110

In Arizona, a defendant may be sentenced to death if before trial the prosecution notices **one** or more of the aggravating circumstances **and** the defendant is convicted of first degree murder. As Defendant acknowledges, five individuals are currently on death row where only one aggravating factor was found. The Arizona Supreme Court has repeatedly determined that "where pecuniary gain is the sole aggravating factor supported by the evidence, the death penalty may be warranted." *State v. Hoskins*, 199 Ariz. 127, 147-48, 14 P.3d 997, 1017-18 (2000); *See also State v. McKinney*, 185 Ariz. 567, 584, 917 P.2d 1214, 1231 (1996); *State v. Spears*, 184 Ariz. 277, 295-96, 908 P.2d 1062, 1080-81 (1996); *State v. White*, 168 Ariz. 500, 511, 815 P.2d 869, 880 (1991), *abrogated on other grounds by State v. Salazar*, 173 Ariz. 399, 416-17, 844 P.2d 566, 583-84 (1992). In *Hoskins*, the pecuniary gain allegation stemmed from a car-jacking and subsequent murder of the owner of the vehicle. The Court found that "though pecuniary gain is the sole aggravating circumstance, it is wholly sufficient to make defendant death eligible." *Id.* at 148, 14 P.3d at 1018.

Defendant's request to dismiss the remaining aggravator is untimely, baseless, clearly unsupported by Arizona law, and must be precluded or denied.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 28 April, 2010.

Sheila Sullivan Polk
YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY

By: /4 · 4

Joseph C. Butner

Deputy County Attorney

///

1	CODIES of the females 1-11 and 1-11
2	COPIES of the foregoing delivered thisday of April, 2010 to:
3	Honorable Thomas J. Lindberg
4	Division 6 Yavapai County Superior Court
5	(via email)
6	John Sears
7	PO Box 4080 Prescott, AZ 86302
8	Attorney for Defendant
9	(via email)
10	Larry Hammond Anne Chapman
11	Osborn Maledon, P.A. 2929 North Central Ave, 21 st Floor
12	Phoenix, AZ Attorney for Defendant
13	(via email)
14	
15	By: who built